07/17/2008 11:28 FAI 9734010961

NIEDWESKE BARBER PC

₫002

	SDNY		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	TRONICALLY FILED:		
MD Kabir, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,			
Plaintiff(s),	08 Civ. 4330 (CM) (DFE)		
-against-			
581 5th Ave Gibb LLC,			
Deficudant(s).			
x			
CIVIL CASE MANAC (for all cases except patent, IDEA and cases subject to the Private Sec	and ERISA honefits cases,		

2	Discovery pursuant to Fed.R.Chv.P. 25(a) shall be exchanged by	7/31/08

3. No additional parties may be joined after 8/15/08

4. No picating may be amended after 9/2/08

5. If your case is brought purposent to 42 U.S.C. § 1983: In keeping with the United States Supreme Court's observation that the issue of qualified immunity should be decided before discovery is conducted, counsel representing any defendant who intends to claim qualified immunity must comply with the special procedure set forth in Judge McMahon's individual rules, which can be found at water much necounts now.

Failure to proceed in accordance with the qualified immunity rules constitutes a waiver of the right to move for judgment on the ground of qualified immunity prior to trial. Please identify any purty who is moving to district on qualified immunity grounds:

6. All discovery, including expert discovery, must be completed on or before
11/7/08
(For personal injury, civil rights, employment
disconniction or medical mulgractice cases only); Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and

NYPOWESKE BARRER PC

Ø 803

shall be completed by 9/31			phase "all discovery,
including expert discovery" me	anus that the perti	ez munt solect end	disclose their experts!
identities and opinions, as really	tred by Ped. R. C	tv. P. 26(a)(2)(B),	well before the expiration of
the discovery period. Expect di	sclosures confin	aling with Rule 26	must be made no later than the
following dates: Plaintiff(s) on	best soboss(s) ph_	10/10/08	; Defundant(s)
expert report(s) by 11/7/08			

- 7. Judge McMahon's Rules governing electronic discovery apply surrequirelly to this case. The parties must comply with those rules unless they supercede it with a consent order. The text of the order will be found at www.grad.unconts.nov.
- 8. This case has been designated to the Hon. United States Magistrate. Boughas Esteen the resolution of discovery disputes. Do not contact Judge McMahon about discovery disputes; go directly to your assigned Magistrate Judge. Discovery disputes do not result in any extension of the discovery deadline or trial-ready date, and Judge McMahon must approve any extension of the discovery deadline in non-pro so cases. The Magistrate Judge connet change discovery deadlines unless you agree to transfer the case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes. Judge McMahon does not routinely grant extensions so counted are warned that it they wait until the last minute to being discovery disputes to the attention of the Magistrate Judge, they may find themselves precluded from taking discovery because they have run out of time.
- 9. A joint pre-trial order in the firm prescribed in Judge McMahon's individual rules, together with all other pre-trial submissions required by those rules (not including in limite motions), shall be submitted on or before ____12/15/08 ___. Pollowing submission of the joint pre-trial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. In limite motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the final pre-trial conference; responses to in limite motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cases may be called for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.
- 10. No motion for manaziny judgment may be served after the data the pro-trial order is don. The filing of a motion for stammary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned date.
- 11. The parties may at any time commut to have this case tried before the assigned. Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C., Section 636(c).

07/17/2008 11:30 FAX 9734010D61

NIEDWESKE BARBER PC

004

12. This scheduling order may be alsood or emended only on a showing of good range that is not foreseeable at the time this order is entered. Course! should not assume that essentions will be granted as a matter of routine.

Dated: New York New York

Upon consent of the parties: [signatures of all commol]

Robert L. Kynnelnik Attorney for Plaintiffs

Peter J. Heck Attorney for Defendants

SO ORDERED

Umited States District Judge